

Release of 2012-13 Assessed Values and Potential Tax Rate Impact

Presentation to the Board of Education July 11, 2012

# 2012 GO Refunding Bonds



# Re-Structuring Benefits



#### 2012-13 Assessed Values

#### Assessed Values (Secured and Unsecured) By Jurisdiction

	2011-12	2012-13	Growth Rate
Richmond	\$10,919,758,195	\$12,751,987,659	16.78%
El Cerrito	\$2,919,955,318	\$2,848,616,268	-2.44%
Hercules*	\$2,433,770,611	\$2,294,108,017	-5.74%
Pinole	\$1,741,821,778	\$1,682,356,980	-3.41%
San Pablo	\$1,189,432,247	\$1,165,133,002	-2.04%
Unincorporated	\$2,955,032,240	\$2,879,973,364	-2.54%
Total	\$22,159,770,389	\$23,622,175,290	6.60%

<sup>\*</sup> In the case of the City of Hercules, 2012-13 figures are based on KNN analysis of raw data provided by the County.





# 1998 Measure E\*



### 2000 Measure M\*

Gross Debt Service	\$12,299,919
Less: Estimated Unsecured Collections	-806,200
Less: Federal Subsidy	0
Less: Estimated Funds on Hand	-1,250,000
Net Requirement	\$10,243,719
Secured and Utility AV	\$22,182,175,290
Estimated Tax Rate (per \$100,000 AV)	\$46.18

Note: A tax rate of \$55.60 per \$100,000 of assessed value would allow the County to retain approximately \$2.0 million in a tax rate stabilization fund for the 2000 Measure M authorization.

\* 2000 Measure M is not subject to the Proposition 39 tax rate limitation



#### 2002 Measure D

Gross Debt Service	\$13,406,350
Less: Estimated Unsecured Collections	-870,000
Less: Federal Subsidy	0
Less: Estimated Funds on Hand	-1,400,000
Net Requirement	\$11,136,350
Secured and Utility AV	\$22,182,175,290
Estimated Tax Rate (per \$100,000 AV)	\$50.20

<sup>\*</sup> A tax rate of \$60.00 per \$100,000 of assessed value would allow the County to retain approximately \$2 million in a tax rate stabilization fund for the 2002 Measure D authorization.



#### 2005 Measure J

Gross Debt Service	\$16,790,491
Less: Estimated Unsecured Collections	-870,000
Less: Federal Subsidy	-2,916,648
Less: Estimated Funds on Hand	-4,000,000
Net Requirement	\$9,003,843
Secured and Utility AV	\$22,182,175,290
Estimated Tax Rate (per \$100,000 AV)	\$40.59

<sup>\*</sup> A tax rate of \$60.00 per \$100,000 of assessed value would allow the County to retain approximately \$4.3 million in a tax rate stabilization fund for the 2005 Measure J authorization.



#### 2010 Measure D

Gross Debt Service	\$11,139,600
Less: Estimated Unsecured Collections	-696,000
Less: Federal Subsidy	-1,031,100
Less: Estimated Funds on Hand	-1,000,000
Net Requirement	\$8,412,500
Secured and Utility AV	\$22,182,175,290
Estimated Tax Rate (per \$100,000 AV)	\$37.92

<sup>\*</sup> A tax rate of \$48.00 per \$100,000 of assessed value would allow the County to retain approximately \$2 million in a tax rate stabilization fund for the 2010 Measure D authorization.



### Actual 2012-13 Tax Rates



# Levying at Targeted Maximum



# Ongoing Concerns



### 2002 Measure D

2012-13	\$23,632,175,290	N/A	\$13,406,350	\$0	\$13,406,350	60.00
2013-14	\$24,577,462,302	4.00%	\$15,299,785	-\$553,308	\$14,746,477	60.00
2014-15	\$25,560,560,794	4.00%	\$15,987,635	-\$651,299	\$15,336,336	60.00
2015-16 <sup>(a)</sup>	\$26,582,983,225	4.00%	\$17,743,935	-\$795,394	\$16,948,541	63.76
2016-17	\$27,646,302,554	4.00%	\$18,994,650	\$0	\$18,994,650	68.71



### 2005 Measure J



## Bonding Capacity





### General Conclusions

